UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/571,420 | 11/06/2006 | Giovanni Nieddu | 3338.87US01 | 3464 |
| 24113 7590 10/06/2008 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E | |
| 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3744 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/06/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/571,420 | NIEDDU, GIOVANNI | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | William E. Tapolcai | 3744 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| olooca iii addordando wiin ino pradiloc andor E | x parte gadyle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40 | 0.0.210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,6 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060310. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/571,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. Claims 5, 6, and 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

- 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the vehicle's motor" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the computer" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 4 should depend from claim 3 and not claim 1.
- 4. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the motor" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of claims 2, 3, and 9 recites "it" in line 1. The claims are considered to be indefinite because it is unclear as to what this refers to. Claim 8 is considered indefinite because it is written in two sentences instead of one.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,420

Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

- 8. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,129,012 to Mairs in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,734,352 to Dolza. Mairs discloses the claimed invention of a refrigeration system having a main circuit which includes a condenser 14 and an evaporator 12, and a complementary circuit including a bypass 26 for bypassing the main circuit. However, Mairs does not disclose the control device for enabling and disabling the complementary circuit. Dolza teaches a refrigeration system having a main circuit including a condenser 50 and an evaporator 54, and a complementary circuit 62 for bypassing the main circuit. A control means 64 allows for enabling and disabling of the complementary circuit. Thus, it would be obvious to provide Mairs with a control means for the complementary circuit 26, in view of Dolza, to yield the predictable result of closing out the complementary circuit whenever needed or desired. The use of a vehicle air conditioning system with a compressor powered by the vehicle motor by a clutch is considered to be extremely well known. Thus, to modify Mairs to use the disclosed refrigeration system in a vehicle air conditioning system is considered to be an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art. The provision of a computer for controlling devices is considered to be well known, and thus to use a computer to control to manage the refrigeration device is considered to be an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William E. Tapolcai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

wet September 29, 2008